

1
2 PETER SZANTO 949-887-2369
3 11 Shore Pine
Newport Beach CA 92657

US BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON

2018 JUN 11 PM 1:08

LAW OFFICES OF
ROBERT L. REED, P.C.

5 **United States Bankruptcy Court**

6 in and for the District of OREGON

7 1001 SW 5th Av., Portland OR 97204

8 In Re Peter Szanto, Debtor

Adversarial # 16-ap-3114

9 =====
10 Peter Szanto, Plaintiff

core case:16-bk-33185-pcm7

11 **vs.**

12 Designation on Appeal

13 Evye Szanto, et al.

14 Defendants

15 Plaintiff herewith and hereby designates each and every document
16 in the within action as necessary to the instant appeal.

17
18 First issue to be decided on appeal. The Court became an active
19 participant in this action on behalf of defendants. Thereby, all impartiality
20 evaporated from these proceedings and the outcome as to all decisions
21 was known to a certainty, before plaintiff uttered a single word or proffered
22 or filed a single paper.

23
24 16-ap-3114

25 Designation – pg. 1

1
2 Second issue on appeal, the Court's active participation was vilely
3 oppressive and humiliatingly biased. Often times Judge McKittrick granted
4 motions before they were made and many times Judge McKittrick offered
5 suggestions to defendants on how further to torment plaintiff and make
6 certain that plaintiff obtained no useful discovery.

7
8 Third issue on appeal. Judge McKittrick suborned the bribery of a
9 Nevada Judge by the defendants. Likewise, Judge McKittrick took no action
10 when defendants filed litigation in blatant defiance of 11 USC 362.

11
12 Fourth issue on appeal. All of the trial judge's discovery hearings and
13 determinations were outside the bounds of all reasonable discretionary
14 fairness. Defendants were allowed to submit meaningless responses which
15 the trial judge praised as master works of gifted litigators; at the same time
16 the trial judge denied every one of plaintiff's discovery requests.

17
18 Fifth issue on appeal. Defendants, suborned by their counsel, bribed
19 or otherwise influenced one (or more) judge in Nevada to bar plaintiff from
20 access to discovery in that state (Nevada is the state where defendants
21 reside). For the trial judge herein, such actions were just more good
22 lawyering by the defendants' counsel. Zealous defense was transmuted by
23 the judge herein to include bribery.

1
2 Sixth issue on appeal. Defendants, suborned by their counsel, filed
3 civil litigation against plaintiff in defiance and contravention of 11 USC 362.
4 The Bankruptcy judge herein viewed this as just more good lawyering and
5 a clever way for defendants to humiliate and degrade a plaintiff against
6 whom the trial judge bore personal hatred.

7
8 Seventh issue on appeal. The trial judge delved extensively into
9 plaintiff's demeanor. The trial judge did not care for plaintiff's demeanor
10 and explained that his decisions in this action were often the result of
11 judicial interpretations of plaintiff's demeanor rather than the facts, truth or
12 any applicable law of this case.

13
14 Eighth issue on appeal. When plaintiff realized the intensity of Judge
15 McKittrick's bias, he apprised the Court of withdrawal of all consent to a
16 final decision in the Bankruptcy Court and requested that all fact finding
17 before in the case occur before a jury.

IF SUCH THERE WAS

18 These requests compelled Judge McKittrick to become even more
19 biased and hateful towards plaintiff's presentation of the case. Rather than
20 comprehending that there were legitimate concerns about impartiality,
21 Judge McKittrick redoubled his bias to inflict even greater prejudice against
22 plaintiff.

1
2 Ninth issue on appeal. Defendants admitted that they had "BOXES
3 BOXES AND BOXES" of evidence in this action.
4

5 When plaintiff made motions to review these materials, Judge
6 McKittrick interceded on behalf of defendants and told plaintiff that nothing
7 in those materials would be helpful and so they were excluded from all
8 examination by plaintiff.
9

10 Tenth issue on appeal. Judge McKittrick is passionate about attorney
11 testimony. Judge McKittrick believes to an absolute certainty that all
12 attorneys for all time past have always told all of the truth – and all
13 attorneys for all time to come in the future will always tell all of the truth.
14 Based on these facts anything that defendants counsel stated was believed
15 as though it were holy gospel and true; whereas, everything which plaintiff
16 presented was disbelieved, irrespective of the fact its truth.
17

18 ^
19 oF

20 Thus, even more judicial bias was added to the making of decisions
21 in this action.
22

23 Eleventh issue on appeal. Discovery ended for plaintiff on 7-31-18.
24 Discovery for defendants never ended. When new facts and events
25 became known, upon timely motion, Judge McKittrick would not reopen
26 discovery for plaintiff.
27
28

16-ap-3114

Designation – pg. 4

1
2 Twelfth issue on appeal, The first six months of this action were –
3 September 2016 – March 2017 -- devoted to defendants filing frivolous
4 documents so as to waste time. Judge McKittrick encouraged defendants
5 dilatory tactics by failing to enter defaults which were justified as matters of
6 law.

7
8 Thirteenth issue on appeal. When the underlying Bankruptcy was
9 converted to Chapter 7, the trustee was allowed to abandon the proceeding
10 without considering important evidence presented by plaintiff.

11
12 Such additional matters as may also be appropriate.
13

14 Transcripts of the following hearings are designated:
15

16 In Portland: 12-13-2016, 1-31-2017, 4-6-2017, 4-26-2017, 5-18-2017,
17 6-6-2017, 6-29-17, 7-12-2017, 7-21-17, 7-27-17, 8-9-17, 8-15-2017,
18 9-12-17, 10-3-2017, 10-17-17, 10-18-17, 12-27-2017, 2-21-18, 4-2-2018.

19 In Minden NV 8-15-17; In Carson City NV 12-19-17; In Santa Ana CA
20 12-19-17, 1-5-18, 6-5-18

21
22 Respectfully,

23
24 DATED 9 June 2018

/s/

 Peter Szanto

25
26 16-ap-3114

Designation – pg. 5

1
2
3
4
Proof of Service
5

6 My name is Maquisha Reynolds, I am over 21 years of age and not
7 a party to the within action. My business address is PO Box 14894,
8 Irvine CA 92623. On the date indicated below, I personally served the
9 within: Designation by e-mail to Mr. Olsen and Mr. Henderson at:

10 nhenderson@portlaw.com
11

12 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States
13 that the foregoing is true and correct. Signed at Irvine CA
14

15 Dated 6-9-2018 /s/ Maquisha Reynolds
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

16-ap-3114

Designation – pg. 6

FedEx®

ORIGIN ID:DTHA
SZANTO
SZANTO
P.O.BOX 14894

(940) 887-2369

SHIP DATE: 09JUN18
ACTWGT: 0.50 LB
CAD: 112243018/NET3980

IRVINE, CA 92623
UNITED STATES US

BILL SENDER

TO **CLERK-DIST OREGON**
US BANKRUPTCY COURT PORTLAND
1001 SW 5TH AVE #700
ROOM 700 @ 1001 SW 5TH AV
PORTLAND OR 97204

(503) 610-0865
INV:
PO:

REF:

DEPT:

552J1/48E5/DCAS

RT 537

6 15.00
C 2400
06.11

FZ



FedEx
TRK# 0201 7724 3810 2400

MON - 11 JUN AA
STANDARD OVERNIGHT

97204
OR-US PDX

1011181224118
PDX 06/09 1435

XH MRIA



#2626708 06/09 552J1/48E5/DCAS

Extremely Urgent